

**DISPARITIES RELATED TO BIRTHS**

	Users 98	IHS Births 94-96	Rate/1000 for Indians	Rate/1000 for US All Races	Additional Indian Births	# Low Weight Births	# High Weight Births	Total	INDEX	100% Scaled Index	Rank
ABERDEEN	124,338	7,924	29.4%	14.8%	3,935	488	1,182	5,605	141%	100%	1
ALASKA	113,993	7,840	26.8%	14.8%	3,510	419	1,457	5,386	124%	89%	2
ALBUQUERQUE	86,382	5,151	23.0%	14.8%	1,836	328	413	2,577	78%	55%	10
BEMIDJI	91,434	5,865	26.6%	14.8%	2,602	297	986	3,885	119%	85%	3
BILLINGS	74,690	4,066	25.7%	14.8%	1,724	251	575	2,550	109%	78%	4
CALIFORNIA	63,989	8,208	23.0%	14.8%	2,926	535	1,039	4,500	85%	61%	7
NASHVILLE	49,114	4,627	21.9%	14.8%	1,500	327	614	2,441	78%	56%	9
NAVAJO	253,821	14,091	23.2%	14.8%	5,102	819	1,170	7,091	79%	56%	8
OKLAHOMA	317,472	18,759	21.8%	14.8%	6,024	1,111	2,328	9,463	74%	53%	11
PHOENIX	136,431	10,235	25.5%	14.8%	4,295	665	1,152	6,112	103%	73%	5
PORTLAND	92,451	10,494	24.7%	14.8%	4,206	598	1,467	6,271	100%	71%	6
TUCSON	23,611	1,763	22.0%	14.8%	577	124	145	846	71%	51%	12
IHS	1,427,726	99,023			38,238	5,962	12,528	56,728		141%	

### LIFE EXPECTANCY DISPARITIES

	USERS	INDIANS	US ALL RACES	DISPARITY	TOTAL DISPARITY YEARS	INDEX	100% Scaled Index	Rank
ABERDEEN	124,338	64.8	75.8	11.0	1,367,718	14.5%	100.0%	1
ALASKA	113,993	69.1	75.8	6.7	763,753	8.8%	60.9%	6
ALBUQUERQUE	86,382	72.6	75.8	3.2	276,422	4.2%	29.1%	9
BEMIDJI	91,434	65.1	75.8	10.7	978,343	14.1%	97.3%	2
BILLINGS	74,690	67.2	75.8	8.6	642,334	11.3%	78.2%	4
CALIFORNIA	63,989	76.4	75.8	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	12
NASHVILLE	49,114	72.7	75.8	3.1	152,253	4.1%	28.2%	10
NAVAJO	253,821	72.5	75.8	3.3	837,609	4.4%	30.0%	8
OKLAHOMA	317,472	74.3	75.8	1.5	476,208	2.0%	13.6%	11
PHOENIX	136,431	69.0	75.8	6.8	927,731	9.0%	61.8%	5
PORTLAND	92,451	71.0	75.8	4.8	443,765	6.3%	43.6%	7
TUCSON	23,611	66.9	75.8	8.9	210,138	11.7%	80.9%	3
IHS	1,427,726				7,076,274		14.5%	

## HEALTH PROBLEMS & DISEASES

		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
	USERS	INJURY & POISONING	ALCOHOLISM	DIA-BETES	HEART DISEASE	CANCER	SUM OF EXCESS RATES	# EXCESS	INDEX	100% Scaled Index	Rank
<b>Age Adj Rates</b>											
ABERDEEN	124,338	17.4	109.0	69.0	23.0	17.3	183.7	11,881	184%	100%	1
ALASKA	113,993	18.5	71.0	11.0	15.2	16.1	82.1	4,867	82%	45%	8
ALBUQUERQUE	86,382	12.1	71.0	75.0	8.5	9.0	132.9	5,971	133%	72%	4
BEMIDJI	91,434	16.4	39.0	78.0	28.7	21.6	131.7	6,263	132%	72%	5
BILLINGS	74,690	16.8	61.0	65.0	20.6	17.3	128.7	5,000	129%	70%	6
CALIFORNIA	63,989	7.1	27.0	28.0	12.9	7.8	36.9	1,228	37%	20%	12
NASHVILLE	49,114	8.2	31.0	60.0	19.0	10.2	79.2	2,023	79%	43%	9
NAVAJO	253,821	17.0	50.0	43.0	10.6	8.6	84.8	11,194	85%	46%	7
OKLAHOMA	317,472	8.8	22.0	38.0	16.4	11.3	46.2	7,627	46%	25%	11
PHOENIX	136,431	15.6	72.0	70.0	14.6	8.8	133.2	9,452	133%	73%	3
PORTLAND	92,451	12.0	56.0	36.0	14.1	12.6	79.1	3,803	79%	43%	10
TUCSON	23,611	16.6	70.0	80.0	13.8	9.9	141.4	1,736	141%	77%	2
<b>US ALL RACES</b>	1,427,726	5.2	6.7	13.3	13.8	13.0	1,260	71,045		184%	

### Population Over Age 54

	USERS	% Users Over Age 54	% US All Races	# Over Age 54	% of Total	100% Scaled Index	Rank
ABERDEEN	124,338	9.3%	19.6%	11,563	7.3%	72.1%	11
ALASKA	113,993	11.0%	19.6%	12,539	7.9%	85.3%	6
ALBUQUERQUE	86,382	10.4%	19.6%	8,984	5.6%	80.6%	7
BEMIDJI	91,434	11.3%	19.6%	10,332	6.5%	87.6%	5
BILLINGS	74,690	10.0%	19.6%	7,469	4.7%	77.5%	9
CALIFORNIA	63,989	12.0%	19.6%	7,679	4.8%	93.0%	3
NASHVILLE	49,114	12.8%	19.6%	6,287	3.9%	99.2%	2
NAVAJO	253,821	11.8%	19.6%	29,951	18.8%	91.5%	4
OKLAHOMA	317,472	12.9%	19.6%	40,954	25.7%	100.0%	1
PHOENIX	136,431	8.6%	19.6%	11,733	7.4%	66.7%	12
PORTLAND	92,451	10.3%	19.6%	9,522	6.0%	79.8%	8
TUCSON	23,611	10.0%	19.6%	2,361	1.5%	77.5%	9
IHS	1,427,726			159,374	100%	12.9%	

## HEALTH DISPARITY RELATED TO POVERTY

	USERS	% Indians Below Poverty	% US Poverty	EXCESS Indian %	EXCESS # IN POOR HEALTH	DISPARITY INDEX	100% Scaled Index	Rank
ABERDEEN	124,338	49.6%	13.1%	36.5%	10,075	279%	100.0%	1
ALASKA	113,993	24.0%	13.1%	10.9%	2,758	83%	29.9%	10
ALBUQUERQUE	86,382	42.1%	13.1%	29.0%	5,561	221%	79.5%	4
BEMIDJI	91,434	33.0%	13.1%	19.9%	4,039	152%	54.5%	6
BILLINGS	74,690	44.6%	13.1%	31.5%	5,223	240%	86.3%	2
CALIFORNIA	63,989	24.0%	13.1%	10.9%	1,548	83%	29.9%	10
NASHVILLE	49,114	24.7%	13.1%	11.6%	1,265	89%	31.8%	9
NAVAJO	253,821	44.6%	13.1%	31.5%	17,750	240%	86.3%	2
OKLAHOMA	317,472	27.0%	13.1%	13.9%	9,797	106%	38.1%	8
PHOENIX	136,431	41.8%	13.1%	28.7%	8,693	219%	78.6%	5
PORTLAND	92,451	29.2%	13.1%	16.1%	3,304	123%	44.1%	7
TUCSON	23,611	24.0%	13.1%	10.9%	571	83%	29.9%	10
IHS	1,427,726				70,585		278.6%	

### CUMULATIVE HEALTH STATUS INDEX

	BIRTH DISPARITY INDEX	LIFE EXPECTANCY DISPARITY INDEX	DISEASE DISPARITY INDEX	AGE DISPARITY INDEX	POVERTY DISPARITY INDEX	WEIGHTED AVERAGE DISPARITY INDEX	BENCH MARK FOR COST
ABERDEEN	100%	100%	100%	72%	100%	97%	\$ 1,017
ALASKA	89%	61%	45%	85%	38%	55%	\$ 579
ALBUQUERQUE	55%	29%	72%	81%	79%	71%	\$ 739
BEMIDJI	85%	97%	72%	88%	55%	75%	\$ 787
BILLINGS	78%	78%	70%	78%	86%	72%	\$ 753
CALIFORNIA	61%	28%	43%	93%	38%	51%	\$ 530
NASHVILLE	56%	28%	43%	99%	38%	51%	\$ 529
NAVAJO	56%	30%	46%	91%	86%	52%	\$ 546
OKLAHOMA	53%	28%	43%	100%	38%	50%	\$ 525
PHOENIX	73%	62%	73%	67%	79%	72%	\$ 754
PORTLAND	71%	44%	43%	80%	44%	51%	\$ 533
TUCSON	51%	81%	77%	78%	38%	73%	\$ 765

IHS	15%	0%	75%	10%	0%	\$ 644
-----	-----	----	-----	-----	----	--------